

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,033	10/12/2000	Howard J. Glaser	STL920000062US1	8030
	7590 02/27/200 NES & VICTOR, LL	•	EXAMINER	
ATTN: IBM54 KENDALL, C		сниск о		
	TH BEVERLY DRIVE, SUITE 210 Y HILLS, CA 90212 ART UNIT PAPE		PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		2192	
				· ·
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	02/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
	09/687,033	GLASER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Chuck O. Kendall	2192	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 December 2006.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	· •	•
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicati	on.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	•	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2000</u> is/a		biected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		·	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	•		21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gir priority under do d.d.d.	(1)	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		polication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		•	ž
application from the International Bur			•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		received.	
	·		
•			
Attachment(s)	,, —) (DTO 440)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u> </u>	

'Application/Control Number: 09/687,033 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the Applicants request for consideration filed 12/05/06.

2. Claims 1 - 21 are pending in this Application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Downs et al USPN 6,226,618 B1 in view of Kenner et al US 6,314,565.

Regarding claim 1, Downs discloses an article of manufacture for use in a data processing system for installing an application program for execution on the data processing system, said article of manufacture comprising a computer-readable storage medium having a computer program embodied in said medium which causes the data processing system to execute method steps comprising:

Art Unit: 2192

encrypting and storing the user application program installation configuration in a manifest file (80: 29 – 31,for encryption keys);

determining that the stored user application program installation configuration corresponds to the particular user (79:32 – 41, see End-User(s);

authenticating the particular user in response to the particular user requesting the application program (25:3 – 5, see authenticity and authorization);

decrypting the manifest file in response to the user authentication (44: 8-11); and

building the application program pursuant to the user application program installation configuration decrypted from the manifest file (70:63 – 71:9, also see 40:1 – 15, see template to build a SC (secured content) also see encryption methods and unpacking and decrypting encrypted parts). Down doesn't expressly disclose defining a user configuration of the application program installation corresponding to a particular user of the application program. However, Kenner et al. in an analogous art and similar configuration discloses acquiring software for a users terminal and updating based on user's configuration (4:30 – 35). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Downs and Kenner because it would enable updating/installing based on the users configuration.

Regarding claim 2, the article of manufacture of claim 1 wherein the data processing system is a local data processing system, and wherein the computer

Art Unit: 2192

program embodied in said medium causes the data processing system to execute the additional method steps comprising:

storing the user application program installation configuration on a remote server executing on a remote data processing system (see FIG. 5, 103 and all related text);

initiating a session between the local data processing system and the remote data processing system in response to the particular user requesting the local application program (see 69:37 – 40, see End-User Devices 109 and communicates with Content Hosting Site(s), for <u>initiates a session</u>);

responsive to the user authentication, downloading data from the remote data processing system to the local data processing system according to the stored user application program installation configuration (70:4 – 10); and

downloading the manifest file from the remote data processing system to the local data processing system (70:27 - 32).

Regarding claim 3, the article of manufacture of claim 2 wherein the computer program embodied in said medium causes the data processing system to execute the additional method steps comprising:

authenticating the particular user in a second authentication responsive to the, particular user requesting a build of the application program (13: 23 – 26, see second key);

decrypting the manifest file responsive to the second authentication (13:3 - 25, see "so that encrypted data with one key can only be decrypted with the other key"); and

Art Unit: 2192

building the application program pursuant to the user application program installation configuration decrypted from the manifest file responsive to the second authentication (70:63 – 71:9).

Regarding claim 4, the article of manufacture of claim 1 wherein the computer program embodied in said medium causes the data processing system to execute the additional method step comprising:

recording a description of items that are being used by the particular user (46: 11 – 17).

Regarding claim 5, the article of manufacture of claim 4 wherein the recorded description is used for administering licensing of the items (46:41-61).

Regarding claim 6, the article of manufacture of claim 2 wherein the remote server is a web server (FIG.1B, 138 see eCOMMERCE SERVER also see all related text).

Regarding claim 7, the article of manufacture of claim 1 wherein the user application program installation configuration comprises data describing the particular user (46:22, see identification), the particular user's application program user configuration, and resources for which the particular user is authorized (82:5 – 20).

Regarding claim 8, which is the method version of claim 1, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 9, which is the method version of claim 2, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Art Unit: 2192

Regarding claim 10, which is the method version of claim 3, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 11, which is the method version of claim 4, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 12, which is the method version of claim 5, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 13, which is the method version of claim 6, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 14, which is the method version of claim 7, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 15, which is the system version of claim 1, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 16, which is the system version of claim 2, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 17, which is the system version of claim 3, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 18, which is the system version of claim 4, see rationale above as previously discussed..

Regarding claim 19, which is the system version of claim 5, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 20, which is the system version of claim 6, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Art Unit: 2192

Regarding claim 21, which is the system version of claim 7, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-272-3698. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2192

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ck.

2/12/07